1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
11							
12	FELTON A. SPEARS, JR. and SIDNEY SCHOLL, on behalf of themselves) Case No. 5-08-CV-00868 (RMW)					
13	and all others similarly situated,) Honorable Ronald M. Whyte					
14	Plaintiff,)					
15	VS.)) PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL					
16 17	FIRST AMERICAN EAPPRAISEIT (a/k/a eAppraiseIT, LLC), a Delaware limited liability company,) VERDICT FORM					
18)					
19	Defendant.	<u>-</u>					
20	When answering the following question	s, please follow the directions provided in the form.					
21	Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are						
22	defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are						
23							
24	unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.						
25	We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them						
26							
27							
28							

RESPA states that "No person shall give and no person shall accept any fee, kickback, or thing of value pursuant to any agreement or understanding, oral or otherwise, that business incident to a part of a real estate settlement service involving a federally related mortgage loan shall be referred to any person."

Special Verdict Question One

To find for Plaintiff, Felton A. Spears, Jr., you must find that Defendant eAppraiseIT provided Washington Mutual with a thing of value which, in this case, is defined as inflated appraisals. This means that Plaintiff does not have to prove that every, or even most appraisals had inflated values, but just that, overall, eAppraiseIT provided Washington Mutual with appraisals with inflated values to some extent.

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that EA provided Washington Mutual with a thing of value, namely appraisals inflated in the aggregate?

Yes ____ No ___

If your answer to Special Instruction One is Yes, please go to Special Verdict Question Two. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the Presiding Juror sign and date this form.

Special Verdict Question Two

To find for Plaintiff, you must find that defendant eAppraiseIT had an agreement or understanding with Washington Mutual to provide inflated appraisals to Washington Mutual in exchange for Washington Mutual providing eAppraiseIT with appraisal referrals. Plaintiff need not provide direct evidence of an agreement, like a written contract, but may show that an agreement existed through circumstantial evidence. As such, the agreement or understanding between

1	eAppraiseIT and Washington Mutual may be established by showing a practice, pattern, or course of				
2	conduct.				
3	Do you find that First American eAppraiseIT had an agreement or understanding with				
4	Washington Mutual to provide inflated appraisals for referrals of appraisal business?				
5					
6	Yes No				
7					
8 9	If your answer to Special Verdict Question Two is Yes, please go to Special Instruction Three.				
10	If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the Presiding Juror sign and date				
11	this form.				
12	Special Verdict Question Three				
13	If you decided that eAppraiseIT and Washington Mutual had an agreement, you must decide				
14	the duration of that agreement.				
1516	For what time period do you find that First American eAppraiseIT and Washington Mutual				
17	had an agreement or understanding that First American eAppraiseIT would provide inflated appraisal				
18	to Washington Mutual in exchange for business referrals?				
19	We, the jury find, First American eAppraiseIT had an agreement or understanding with				
20	Washington Mutual to inflate appraisals in exchange for business referrals from until				
21					
22					
2324	You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately				
25	reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict				
26	form in the spaces below and notify the Security Guard that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding				
27	Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the				
28	courtroom.				

1	DATED:	, 2014	By:	
2			Presiding Juror	
3			0.44.4	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	(Propose	ed) Special Verdict Form;	Case No. 5-08-CV-00868 (RMW)	